UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/585,151	10/16/2007	Martin Brox	Q601.131.101/2003P53957U	S 8215
25281 DICKE, BILLIO	7590 01/03/201 G & CZAJA	1	EXAMINER	
FIFTH STREE	ΓTOWERS	2250	PHAM, EMILY P	
MINNEAPOLI	FTH STREET, SUITE S, MN 55402	2250	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2838	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/03/2011	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/585,151	BROX, MARTIN	
Examiner	Art Unit	

The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on	the cover sheet with the correspondence address
THE REPLY FILED 20 December 2010 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPL	ICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the sar application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1 periods:	(1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request
 a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory on event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than 	Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In a SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Y CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO in the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee distatutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as
NOTICE OF APPEAL	
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance we filling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension the Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the AMENDMENTS 	ereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior (a) They raise new issues that would require further considerat (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form	tion and/or search (see NOTE below);
appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a correspond	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable non-allowable claim(s). 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will	. if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the
how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided be The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	elow or appended.
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	ent reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notic entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcom showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and we	e <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	·
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does in See Continuation Sheet.	NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (PTO/S 13. Other:	B/08) Paper No(s)
	/Gary L. Laxton/
1/3/2010	Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2838

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The applicant's arguments are not persuasive: first applicant argues that Morita's amplifier MA does not generate a variable voltage, it is noted that in reality constant voltage do flunctuate with voltage ripple, therefore MA does generate variable voltage. Secondly, applicant argues that Morita fails to teach that the further voltage generated by the further device can be higher than or proportional to the voltage generated by the first device, it is noted that both Morita's MA and SA generate constant voltages that flunctuate with voltage ripple and flunctuation at some point produces the difference between two voltages: the further voltage generated by the further device can be higher than or proportional to the voltage generated by the first device. For similar reasons, Morita does teach all the limitations of claims as presented in final rejection.